UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,893	11/24/2003	Sivaprasad Padisetty	MSFT125957	5309
	7590 07/01/200 N, O'CONNOR, JOHN	EXAMINER		
1420 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 2800 SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347			WAI, ERIC CHARLES	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			2195	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/720,893	PADISETTY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ERIC C. WAI	2195		

	ENIC C. WAI	2193	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI (f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. LED WITHIN TWC
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<ul> <li>3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>Applicant's amendments change the scop</u> <u>consideration is necessary.</u> (See 37 CFR 1.116 a	<u>e of independent claims 1, 8, and 1</u> nd 41.33(a)).	15. Therefore, further s	
4. $\square$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	<ol><li>See attached Notice of Non-Co</li></ol>	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. $\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		-	_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		Il be entered and an ex	oplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	rit or other evidence is	necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented.  S	al and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	Titry is below or attach	su.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Meng-Ai An/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195			